



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/460,223	12/13/1999	NAOYASU MIYAGAWA	JEL-28567RE-D	2209

7590 07/18/2002

JAMES E LEDBETTER ESQ
STEVENS DAVIS MILLER & MOSHER LLP
SUITE 850
PO BOX 34387
WASHINGTON, DC 200434387

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

HINDI, NABIL Z

[REDACTED] ART UNIT

[REDACTED] PAPER NUMBER

2653

DATE MAILED: 07/18/2002

12 + 13 + 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/460,223

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

12

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Krista Zele, SPRE (3)

(2) Jim Ledbetter, atty (4)

Date of Interviews 6-12-02, 6-28-02, 7-8-02

Type: Telephonic Televideo Conference Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.

not specific.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: On 6-12-02, it was discussed that review of 6 related reissues found issues of obvious double patenting amongst several of the related files. Mr. Ledbetter offered to file t.d.s in all 6 related files to overcome issues of double patenting. Discussions on 6-28-02 & 7-8-02 were focused on tracking the t.d.s and confirming they had been located. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview. It was also discussed that reviews of all files for recapture would be completed shortly.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

KRISTA ZELE
SPECIAL PROGRAM EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600